

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/532,942	08/23/2006	David Pelz	100M185-US1	2651
7278 DARBY & DA	7590 03/27/200 ARBY P.C	EXAMINER		
P.O. BOX 770	-	LEGESSE, NINI F		
Church Street New York, NY			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3711	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/27/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No. 10/532,942		Applicant(s)	
		PELZ, DAVID	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nini Legesse	3711	

	Nini Legesse	3711						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>05 March 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
. ☑ The reply was flied after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.							
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In ore event, however, will the statulory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP FOG.07(i).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.39(a). The date on which the polition under 37 CFR 1.39(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of vertexion and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension can be corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The depropriate extension as set for the final cover, if the deck. Any reply received by the Office leater than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL								
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).								
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
<ol> <li>∑ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because         <ul> <li>(a) ∑ They raise new issues that woult require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> </ul> </li> </ol>								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for								
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a d	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.						
NOTE: Applicant requested for phone interview w. contacted Mr. Martin S. Sulsky on 03/24/08 and in require further consideration and search. Examine. Sellar, which is a cited prior art of record, clearly client and might further amend the claims and file :	hen his Amendment after final is re- formed him that his amendment after in additiont, pointed out that Figure lads on the new amended claim. M an RCE (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 4	ceived by the examine or final raise new issu to 2 of the US Patent N r. Sulsky stated he wi 11.33(a)).	es that would IO. 5.662,530 to Il consult with his					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
<ol> <li>Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):</li> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the					
<ol> <li>For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:</li> </ol>		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) allowed:  Claim(s) objected to:  Claim(s) rejected: <u>1 and 2</u> .  Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>3-12</u> .								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).								
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary.  10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. As explanation	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	and/or appellant fail: ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a ).					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER								
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12.  Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13.  Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)							

/Nini Legesse/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3711

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Part of Paper No. 20080324